

JSC S&MA FLIGHT SAFETY OFFICE

Significant Incidents and Close Calls in Human Spaceflight

Summer 2015

S&MA Engineering Contract
Product 9, Delivery 1
JS-2015-004
NNJ13RA01B

SAIC




Legend

Loss of Crew	Crew Injury/Illness and/or Loss of Vehicle or Mission	Related or Recurring Event
--------------	---	----------------------------



<p>STS-110 4/8/2002 STS-109 3/1/2002 STS-108 12/5/2001</p> <p>Incorrect adjustments to the controller software resulted in SSME underperformance. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-91 6/12/1998</p> <p>Main engine pressure chamber sensor failed. If it occurred later, logic error may have triggered at RTL. Crew: 6</p> <p>Soyuz TM-9 2/11/1990</p> <p>DM insulation torn loose on ascent; contingency EVA repair. Crew: 2</p> <p>SRB Seal Events (1981-1996)</p> <p>STS-51L (Challenger) 1/28/1986 SRB seal failure. Crew: 7 Loss of Crew</p> <p>Other SRB gas sealing anomalies: STS-2, 6, 41B, 41C, 41D, 51C, 51D, 51B, 51G, 51F, 51J, 61A, 61B, 61C, 42, 71, 70, 78</p> <p>STS-51F 7/29/1985</p> <p>Temperature sensor problems resulted in SSME1 shutdown at T+5:45. Crew: 7 Abort to Orbit</p> <p>Soyuz 18-1(18a) 4/5/1975</p> <p>Electrical fault caused premature firing of half of the 2nd stage separation bolts, resulting in the inability to fire the remaining ones. Staging failure resulted in abort sequence being used at T=29:55 seconds. Crew: 2 Loss of Vehicle/Mission</p> <p>Apollo 13 4/11/1970</p> <p>2nd stage center engine shutdown due to pogo oscillations. Crew: 3</p> <p>Apollo 12 11/14/1969</p> <p>Lightning strike on ascent. Crew: 3</p> <p>Gemini 10 7/18/1966</p> <p>1st stage oxidizer tank exploded at staging. No discernable effects. Nominal ascent. Crew: 2</p> <p>STS-112 10/7/2002</p> <p>T-0 umbilical issues resulted in none of the system A pyrotechnic charges firing. Crew: 6</p> <p>STS-61C 1/6/1986</p> <p>System configuration errors resulted in inadvertent drain back of 14,000 lbs of LOX prelaunch, which would have resulted in a Trans-Atlantic Abort Landing. Crew: 7</p> <p>On-pad Abort Events (1984-1993)</p> <p>STS-41D 6/26/1984</p> <p>Following a pad abort, LH₂ leaked from SSME3, resulting in a fire of the base of the orbiter. Crew: 6</p> <p>Soyuz T-10-1 (T-10a) 9/26/1983</p> <p>Pad booster fire/explosion. Capsule Escape System used. Crew: 2 Loss of Vehicle/Mission</p> <p>Other On-pad Abort Events: STS-51F, STS-55, STS-51, STS-68.</p> <p>STS-1 4/12/1981</p> <p>SRB ignition pressure wave caused TPS and structural damage. Crew: 2</p> <p>Apollo 1 (AS-204) 1/27/1967</p> <p>Crew cabin fire (electrical short + high pressure O₂ atmosphere). Crew: 3 Loss of Crew</p> <p>Gemini 6 12/12/1965</p> <p>Main engine shutdown. Booster left unsecured on pad. Crew ejected not to eject. Launched 3 days later. Crew: 2</p>	<p>Progress M-12M (44P) 8/24/2011</p> <p>Anomaly in fuel pressurization system led to shutdown of 3rd stage engine. Vehicle failed to reach orbit. Crew: 0 Loss of Vehicle/Mission</p> <p>STS-117 6/8/2007</p> <p>Thermal blanket damage. EVA performed to repair damage. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-114 5/26/2005</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bird strike on External Tank. Loss of foam from External Tank PAL ramp. TPS gap filers protruding. Removed during third mission EVA. <p>Missing O-ring resulted in ejection of one of two NISs, compromising the ET forward separation bolt function and damaging secondary structure and a thermal blanket. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-93 7/23/1999</p> <p>AT T+5 a short on AC1 Phase A resulted in loss of SSME1 Controller A and SSME3 Controller B. Crew: 5</p> <p>Ascent Debris</p> <p>STS-124 5/31/2008</p> <p>Pad 39-A flame trench suffered significant damage causing about 3,500 refractory bricks to be blown away from the flame trench wall. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-95 10/29/1998</p> <p>Drag chute door separated during launch and impacted main engine bell. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-95 10/29/1998</p> <p>Other significant ascent debris events have occurred on: STS-116 and STS-125</p> <p>Late Release Orbiter Tyvek Cores</p> <p>STS-114, 115, 118, 119, 124, 126</p>	<p>EVA Incidents Summary (1965-2014)</p> <p>13 EVAs resulted in crew injury: Gemini 10, Apollo 17, Salyut 7 PE-1, Salyut 7 VE-3, STS-61-B EVAs 1&2, STS-37, Mir PE-9, STS-63, STS-97/4A, STS-100/6A EVAs 1&2, STS-134/ULF6</p> <p>See the Significant Incidents in EVA Operations Graphic for more details. (spaceflight.nasa.gov/outreach/readersroom.html)</p> <p>Apollo 10 5/22/1969</p> <p>Switch misconfiguration resulted in lunar module control problems. Crew: 2</p> <p>Apollo 14 1/31/1971</p> <p>Multiple failed docking attempts. Contingency procedures developed to mitigate risk of recurring docking anomaly. Docking successful. Crew: 3</p> <p>Apollo 13 4/13/1970</p> <p>Explosion due to electrical short. Loss of O₂ and EPS. Crew: 3 Loss of Mission</p> <p>Apollo 11 7/21/1969</p> <p>Engine arm circuit breaker knob broke off. Circuit breaker successfully reset allowing ascent. Crew: 2</p>
---	--	---

<p>ISS Increment 38 12/11/2013</p> <p>ITCS configuration errors resulted in near freezing and potential rupture of water-to-ammonia heat exchanger. Crew: 6</p> <p>Soyuz TMA-18 (22S) 9/23/2010</p> <p>First attempt to separate from ISS failed: ISS crew succeeded in bypassing faulty sensor. Crew: 3, ISS 3</p> <p>ISS, Increment 17 4/30/2008</p> <p>Freon 218 leaked from SM AC. Crew: 3</p> <p>ISS, Increment 15 6/10-6/18/2007</p> <p>Power switch failures caused loss of ISS propulsive attitude control capability. Crew: 10</p> <p>ISS, Increment 13 8/2006</p> <p>Trial coolant leak in SM. Crew: 3</p> <p>ISS, Increment 10 2/2005</p> <p>Potential acid preservative aerosol escape from Russian urinal. Crew: 2</p> <p>ISS, Increment 5&6 mid-2002-2/03</p> <p>Formaldehyde periodically exceeded long-term limits. Crew: 3-10</p> <p>ISS, Increment 2-4 4/2001-3/2002</p> <p>Freon 218 leaked from SM AC. Crew: 3</p> <p>ISS, Increment 4 2/2002</p> <p>MelOx regeneration caused noxious air. Crew: 3</p> <p>ISS 8/2001</p> <p>Extremely high methanol levels in FGB air sample. Crew: 3</p> <p>STS-104 7/2001</p> <p>EMU battery leaked hazardous KOH. Discovered during EMU checkout. Crew: 5</p>	<p>ISS Increment 2 4/24/2001</p> <p>Failure of all U.S. command and control computers on ISS. Crew: 10</p> <p>STS-99 2/2000</p> <p>High bacterial count in postflight sample after GIRA installed to removed iodine. Crew: 6</p> <p>ISS, Flight 2A.1 5/1999</p> <p>Crew sickened in FGB; likely a result of high localized CO₂ levels due to poor ventilation. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-87 11/21/1997</p> <p>Spartan satellite deployed without proper activation. Recapture with RMS unsuccessful. Later captured by EVA crew. Crew: 6</p> <p>Mir 7/17/1997</p> <p>Accidental unplugging of computer power cable led to loss of attitude control and loss of power. Crew: 3</p> <p>STS-83 4/6/1997</p> <p>Failure of fuel cell number 2 resulted in MDF being declared. The 15-day mission was shortened to 3 days. Crew: 7 Minimum Duration Flight Loss of Mission</p> <p>STS-51 9/12/1993</p> <p>Both port-side primary and secondary SUPERZIP explosive cords fired, resulting in containment tube failure and damage in the payload bay. Crew: 5</p> <p>STS-44 11/24/1991</p> <p>Failure of IMU 2 caused MDF to be declared. 10-day mission shortened to 7 days. Crew: 6 Minimum Duration Flight</p>	<p>STS-32 1/9/1990</p> <p>Erroneous state vector up-linked to flight control system, causing immediate and unpredictable attitude control problems. Crew: 3 Loss of Attitude Control</p> <p>STS-9 12/8/1983</p> <p>Two GPCs failed during reconfiguration for entry. One GPC could not be recovered. Crew: 3</p> <p>STS-2 11/12/1981</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Failure of fuel cell resulted in a MDF being declared. The fuel cell failure also resulted in hydrogen in the drinking water leading to crew dehydration. <p>Crew: 2 Mission Terminated</p> <p>Soyuz 33 4/12/1979</p> <p>Main engine anomaly caused final rendezvous abort. Crew: 2 Loss of Mission</p> <p>STS-23 10/16/1976</p> <p>Mission Abort due to docking failure. Crew: 2 Loss of Mission</p> <p>Soyuz 21 8/24/1976</p> <p>Separation from Salyut failed; ground command succeeded in opening latches. Crew: 2</p> <p>Soyuz 1 4/23/1967</p> <p>Failures in attitude control and electrical power systems resulted in a loss of mission. The launch of the intended docking target, Soyuz 2, was scrubbed. Crew: 1 Loss of Mission</p> <p>Gemini 8 3/16-3/17/1966</p> <p>Stuck thruster caused loss of control and led to 1st U.S. emergency de-orbit. Crew: 2 Emergency De-orbit</p> <p>Mercury MA-9 5/16/1963</p> <p>Electrical faults caused loss of some systems and need to perform manual entry. Also experienced high PPCC₂ levels in suit during entry operations. Crew: 1 Manual Entry</p>
--	--	--



<p>Soyuz TM-5 9/6/1988</p> <p>Two de-orbit attempts failed. Crew confined to DM due to OM being jettisoned prior to 1st de-orbit attempt. Crew prevented erroneous firing of SM separation pyrotechnics. Crew: 2</p> <p>Soyuz T-11 10/2/1984</p> <p>Partial failure of atmospheric entry control system. Crew: 3</p> <p>Soyuz 33 4/12/1979</p> <p>Backup engine burned 25 seconds too long on de-orbit. Ballistic entry. Crew: 2</p> <p>Skylab 4 2/8/1974</p> <p>Incorrect circuit breakers opened, resulting in the loss of the automatic control. Crew: 3</p> <p>Soyuz 11 6/30/1971</p> <p>Pyrotechnic system failure resulted in crew module rapid depress. Crew: 3 Loss of Crew</p> <p>Gemini 5 8/29/1965</p> <p>Erroneous entry data uplinked; crew manually corrected entry flight profile. Crew: 2</p> <p>Gemini 4 6/7/1965</p> <p>Computer failure resulted in ballistic entry. Crew: 2</p> <p>Voshkod 2 3/19/1965</p> <p>Pitch horizon scanner malfunctioned. Issues with manual entry resulted in off-target, rough terrain landing. Delayed crew recovery. Crew: 2</p> <p>Mercury MA-7 5/24/1962</p> <p>Pitch horizon scanner failed, resulting in manual entry and off-target landing. Delayed crew recovery. Crew: 1</p> <p>Mercury MA-6 2/20/1962</p> <p>False landing-bag indicator light led to entry with retropack in place as a precaution. Crew: 1</p>	<p>STS-134 6/1/2011</p> <p>Brief fire observed between the left main landing gear tires during runway rollout. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-108 12/17/2001</p> <p>Violation of minimum landing weather requirements. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-90 5/3/1998</p> <p>Hard, fast landing due to human factors and rogue wind gust. Hardest shuttle landing. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-37 4/11/1991</p> <p>Several factors contributed to a low-energy landing 623 feet prior to the threshold of the runway at the backup landing location. Crew: 5 Low Energy Landing</p> <p>STS-51D 4/19/1985</p> <p>Right brake failed (locked up) causing blowout of inboard tire and significant damage to outboard tire. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-9 12/8/1983</p> <p>A. Two APUs caught fire during rollout. B. GPC failed on touchdown. C. Incorrect flight control rechannelization on rollout. Crew: 6</p> <p>STS-3 3/30/1982</p> <p>Pilot induced oscillation during derotation. Stronger than predicted winds contributed. Crew: 2</p> <p>Soyuz 15 8/28/1974</p> <p>Descended through an electrical storm during night landing. Crew: 2</p> <p>Apollo 15 8/7/1971</p> <p>Landed with only 2 of 3 parachutes. Crew: 3</p> <p>Apollo 12 11/24/1969</p> <p>Harder than normal splashdown knocked loose a camera. The camera knocked lunar module pilot unconscious. Crew: 3</p> <p>Mercury MR-4 7/21/1961</p> <p>Inadvertent hatch pyrotechnic firing. Capsule sunk. Astronaut nearly drowned. Crew: 1 Loss of Capsule</p>	<p>Service/Descent Module Separation Failures (1961-2008)</p> <p>Soyuz TMA-11 (15S) 4/19/2008</p> <p>Ballistic, high g entry and landing over 400 km short of intended target. Crew: 3 Crew Injury (1)</p> <p>Soyuz TMA-10 (14S) 10/21/2007</p> <p>Crew: 3</p> <p>Soyuz 5 1/18/1969</p> <p>Crew: 2</p> <p>Voshkod 2 3/19/1965</p> <p>Crew: 1</p> <p>Vostok 5 6/19/1963</p> <p>Crew: 1</p> <p>Vostok 2 8/7/1961</p> <p>Crew: 1</p> <p>Vostok 1 4/12/1961</p> <p>Crew: 1</p> <p>STS-107 (Columbia) 2/1/2003</p> <p>TPS damage from ascent debris strike resulted in loss of crew and vehicle on entry. Similar bipod ramp foam loss occurred on STS-7, STS-32, STS-50, STS-52, STS-62, and STS-112 Crew: 7 Loss of Crew</p> <p>STS-51D 4/19/1985</p> <p>TPS burn-through on left outboard elevon. Crew: 7</p> <p>STS-1 4/14/1981</p> <p>Right-hand main landing gear door warped due to entry heating. Crew: 2</p> <p>Other significant STS TPS anomalies: STS-6, 41B, 51G, 27*, 28, 40, 42, 45 *Most severe file damage to date.</p> <p>Soyuz Landing Events (1967-1993)</p> <p>Soyuz TM-15 2/1/1993</p> <p>Rolled down hillside. Crew: 2</p> <p>Soyuz TM-14 8/10/1992</p> <p>Hard landing impact. Hatch jammed, requiring cosmonauts to use tools to pry open. Crew: 3</p> <p>Soyuz TM-12 10/10/1991</p> <p>Hard impact. News team reported capsule as "very dented." Crew: 3</p> <p>Soyuz TM-7 4/27/1989</p> <p>Double-impact "hard landing." Crew: 2 Crew Injury (1)</p> <p>Soyuz T-7 12/10/1982</p> <p>Landed on hillside and rolled downhill. One cosmonaut thrown from seat. Crew: 2</p> <p>Soyuz 36 7/31/1980</p> <p>Landing rockets failed to fire resulting in ~30 g impact. Crew: 2</p> <p>Soyuz 23 10/16/1976</p> <p>Landed on frozen lake during blizzard. Delayed recovery. Crew: 2</p> <p>Soyuz 18-1 (18a) 4/5/1975</p> <p>After ascent abort, capsule landed on snowy slope above cliff. Parachute snagged and prevented fall. Crew: 2 Crew Injury</p> <p>Soyuz 5 1/18/1969</p> <p>Landing rockets failed to fire, resulting in a hard landing. Crew: 1 Crew Injury</p> <p>Soyuz 1 4/24/1967</p> <p>Main and reserve parachutes failed. Crew: 1 Loss of Crew</p>
--	---	---

The JSC Flight Safety Office maintains the *Significant Incidents and Close Calls in Human Spaceflight* graphic to provide continuing visibility of the risks inherent with space exploration and to provide engineers with a summary of past experience. It is hoped this information will be used to learn from the past and make present and future missions safer.

WHAT IS IT?

Human spaceflight grew out of the Cold War between the United States and the Soviet Union. Competitive struggles laid the groundwork with advances in high altitude flight, rocketry, and human performance. Human spaceflight reached its first defining success more than half a century ago, when Cosmonaut Yuri Gagarin became the first man to orbit the Earth in April 1961. In November 2000, a multi-national crew moved aboard the International Space Station. By November 2011, the former Cold War rivals had collaborated to surpass 10 years of continuous presence in space. Now a new record of continuous space habitation is established daily.

The Significant Incidents and Close Calls in Human Spaceflight chart presents a visual overview of major losses and close calls spanning the history of human spaceflight. It heightens awareness of the risks that must be managed as human spaceflight continues to advance.

HOW DOES IT WORK?

Events on the chart are organized by flight phase and ordered chronologically within each phase. Each event is represented by a small box which includes the mission name, date, a brief description of the incident and any significant result, such as injury or loss of life. Three types of important events are highlighted: loss of crew, crew injury, and related or recurring events. Events with one or more crew fatalities are considered a loss of crew and highlighted in red. Crew injury or illness and/or loss of vehicle or mission is designated by orange shading. Related or recurring events are grouped together and set apart by yellow shaded boxes. These events have occurred repeatedly, are similar in nature, and may continue to occur today.

WHY DO WE HAVE IT?

The Significant Incidents and Close Calls in Human Spaceflight chart is maintained by NASA Johnson Space Center's Flight Safety Office to raise awareness of lessons that have been learned through the years. It is a visible reminder of the risks inherent in human spaceflight. It is intended to spark an interest in past events, inspire people to delve into lessons learned, and encourage continued vigilance. It can aid in developing "what-if" scenarios and in ensuring the lessons of history are incorporated into new designs. It is being distributed as widely as possible in the hope that future accidents may be prevented.

WHAT IS THE BONDARENKO STORY?

Two fatal events, the Soviet altitude chamber oxygen fire and the Apollo 1 terminal countdown demonstration test, highlight the importance of sharing information. On March 23, 1961 Soviet cosmonaut Valentin Bondarenko lost his life after being severely burned in an altitude chamber fire. The incident occurred during a routine training exercise, when Bondarenko attempted to throw an alcohol swab into a waste basket, but hit the edge of a hot plate instead. The oxygen-rich environment quickly ignited. Rescue efforts were thwarted because internal pressure prevented rescuers from opening the chamber's inwardly swinging hatch for several minutes. By the time the pressure was released and the hatch could be opened, Bondarenko had been hopelessly burned. He died hours later.

Six years later, three U.S. astronaut's lives were lost in a fire during the terminal countdown demonstration test. During the test, the Apollo crew module contained an oxygen-rich atmosphere. An electrical short caused a fire that spread quickly throughout the cabin. Again, rescue efforts were delayed due to the buildup of pressure behind an inwardly opening hatch. Unlike the Soviet altitude chamber oxygen fire, the crew did not die due to burns from the fire, but from cardiac arrest caused by smoke inhalation. However, in both the Bondarenko tragedy and the Apollo 1 incident, high levels of oxygen caused the fires to spread rapidly, and pressure against inward opening hatches slowed rescue efforts. Neither cabin was equipped with effective fire-suppression equipment.

Information about the Bondarenko incident was not known in the U.S. until 1986 – more than 20 years later. Would access to this information have led to design changes that saved lives? Although that question can never be answered, these events underscore the importance of sharing information in the effort to prevent future tragedies.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

AC	Air Conditioner
APU	Auxiliary Power Unit
BMP	Microimpurities Removal System (Russian)
CDRA	Carbon Dioxide Removal System
CMG	Control Management Gyroscope
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
DM	Descent Module
EMU	Extravehicular Mobility Unit
EPS	Electrical Power System
EV	Extravehicular
FGB	Functional Cargo Block (Russian)
FSO	Flight Safety Office
GIRA	Galley Iodine Removal Assembly
GPC	General Purpose Computer
GPS	Global Positioning System
H ₂	Hydrogen
IMU	Inertial Measurement Unit
ISS	International Space Station
ITCS	Internal Thermal Control System
KOH	Potassium Hydroxide
LH ₂	Liquid Hydrogen
LOC	Loss of Crew
LOV	Loss of Vehicle
LOX	Liquid Oxygen
MDF	Minimum Duration Flight
MetOx	Metal Oxide
MMOD	Micro-Meteoroid Orbital Debris
N ₂ O ₄	Nitrogen Tetroxide
NSI	NASA Standard Initiator
O ₂	Oxygen
OM	Orbital Module
OSMA	Office of Safety & Mission Assurance
PAL	Protuberance Air Load
PASS	Primary Avionics Software System
PACO ₂	Partial Pressure of Carbon Dioxide
RCS	Reaction Control System/Subsystem
RMS	Remote Manipulator System
RTLS	Return to Launch Site
SFOG	Solid Fuel Oxygen Generator
S&MA	Safety & Mission Assurance
SM	Service Module
SRB	Solid Rocket Booster
SSME	Space Shuttle Main Engine
SSP	Space Shuttle Program
STS	Space Transportation System
TPS	Thermal Protection System
U.S.	United States

Visit the NASA Human Spaceflight Readers Room (<http://spaceflight.nasa.gov/outreach/readersroom.html>) for the latest version of the Significant Incidents and Close Calls in Human Spaceflight chart.

Nigel Packham, Ph.D., NASA
Manager, JSC S&MA Flight Safety Office
nigel.packham-1@nasa.gov

Bill Wood
Advisor, FSO Support Team
bill.wood@stargroup.solutions

Dennis Pate, SAIC
Assessments Specialist, FSO Support Team
dennis.w.pate@nasa.gov

